

HOUSE BILL 688: Certain Appeals Allowed/Clarify Disp. Orders.

2017-2018 General Assembly

Committee:	Senate Rules and Operations of the Senate	Date:	June 12, 2018
Introduced by:	Reps. Stevens, Jackson	Prepared by:	Shawn Middlebrooks
Analysis of:	Second Edition		Tawanda Foster
			Staff Attorneys

OVERVIEW: House Bill 688 would provide an order or judgment pertaining to the validity of a premarital agreement may be immediately appealed and clarifies when a court must make findings of fact in ceasing reunification efforts in certain cases.

CURRENT LAW:

<u>Section 1.</u> The final judgment of a claim may not be appealed until all claims within the action are resolved, unless: (1) the judge finds that there is "no just reason for delay"; or (2) an immediate appeal is expressly provided by rule or statute. G.S. 1A-1, Rule 54(b).

G.S. 50-19.1 expressly authorizes immediate appeal of a final judgment, even if there are other pending claims in the action, in the following circumstances: absolute divorce; divorce from bed and board; child custody; child support; alimony; and equitable distribution.

<u>Section 2.</u> G.S. 7B-901(c) applies to child abuse, neglect, and dependency matters and provides reasonable efforts for reunification are not required and may be ceased at the disposition hearing if:

- 1. A court has determined aggravated circumstances exist because the parent has committed or encouraged the commission of, or allowed the continuation of, any of the following upon the child:
 - ➢ Sexual abuse
 - Chronic physical or emotional abuse
 - > Torture
 - > Abandonment
 - Chronic or toxic exposure to alcohol or controlled substances that causes impairment of or addiction in the juvenile
 - Any other act, practice, or conduct that increased the enormity or added to the injurious consequences of the abuse or neglect
- 2. A court has involuntarily terminated the parental rights of the parent to another child of the parent.
- 3. A court has determined that (i) the parent has committed murder or voluntary manslaughter of another child of the parent; (ii) has aided, abetted, attempted, conspired, or solicited to commit murder or voluntary manslaughter of the child or another child of the parent; (iii) has committed a felony assault resulting in serious bodily injury to the child or another child of the parent; (iv) has committed sexual abuse against the child or another child of the parent; or (v) has been required to register as a sex offender on any government-administered registry.

Karen Cochrane-Brown Director



Legislative Analysis Division 919-733-2578

 $This \ bill \ analysis \ was \ prepared \ by \ the \ nonpartisan \ legislative \ staff for \ the \ use \ of \ legislators \ in \ their \ deliberations \ and \ do \ es \ not \ constitute \ an \ official \ statement \ of \ legislative \ intent.$

House Bill 688

Page 2

Further, when a court ceases reunification efforts at the disposition hearing they must include written findings of fact pertaining to these circumstances.

Background: In a recent opinion the North Carolina Supreme Court affirmed a decision by the North Carolina Court of Appeals to reverse a disposition order that ceased reunification efforts with a juvenile's parents. The Court held G.S. 7B-901(c) as currently written required a prior adjudication or disposition order making the appropriate findings of fact before a court could order reunification efforts be ceased. The courts based their opinion on the contrasting verb tense in current law. *In re G.T., 791 S.E.2d 274 (2016), aff'd per curiam, 808 S.E. 2d. 142 (2017)*

BILL ANALYSIS:

Section 1 of the bill would amend G.S. 50-19.1 to include an order or judgment pertaining to the *validity of a premarital agreement* among those orders that may be immediately appealed.

Section 2 of the bill would amend the verb tense in G.S. 7B-901(c) to clarify written findings of fact relating to ceasing reunification efforts under certain circumstances *may be made in any disposition order* and does not require relevant findings of fact be included in a prior hearing or prior order.

EFFECTIVE DATE:

Section 1 of the bill would be effective when it becomes law and would apply to appeals filed on or after that date.

Section 2 of the bill would be effective when it becomes law and apply to dispositions effective on or after that date.